Prison: What is it good for?
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The supremacy of imprisonment as a way of dealing with offenders has never been seriously challenged, even though there is plenty of evidence to show that it does not work, as either a form of punishment or a place of rehabilitation. But in the last few months, crime, punishment, and UK prisons have been in the news regularly. The Coalition government, has enormous and growing debts, and has been reviewing all public services to identify where they can make cuts, and as spending on prisons is high (c£50,000 per year, per offender) they have been taking a closer look. Highlighting the glaringly high re-offending rate and the failure of prisons to rehabilitate and prevent re-offending.

Chris Grayling, the Justice Minister has announced a review and a number of new cost-cutting initiatives, describing the current arrangements as “a bad return on investment”. Ideas already mooted include compulsory work or education for offenders in order to earn their privileges, such as Sky TV – a tightening of the existing regime. He has also announced a mentoring scheme, which relies on ex-offenders or other providers (reporting to the state) to “support” (police) newly released offenders for a period of 12 months, to prevent them re-offending. The mentoring idea includes practical support in finding work and housing, and has received some
initial positive appraisal – but is untested and likely to take eons. Both schemes are attempts to educate, influence and control offenders, to make them change their offending behaviour by offering incentives, and will not please the “hang ‘em and flog ‘em” brigade who insist that punishment is the answer. Rehabilitation or punishment? Two established methods for dealing with offenders, neither of which has had a significant impact on reducing crime. So, just how should we treat those who break society’s laws?

The treatment of offenders has come a long way since the days of racks, ducking, guillotines, gallows, birching, flogging, hard labour, and transportation. Originally, prisons were simply places to hold people while awaiting a range of gruesome punishments, and then became a form of punishment themselves, depriving offenders of their liberty and protecting society from their menacing ways. Nelson Mandela, one of the world’s most famous political offenders, spent almost 20 of his 27 years in jail, confined to a small cell, the floor was his bed, and he had a bucket for a toilet. He was forced to do hard labour in a quarry, received one letter every six months, and was allowed one 30-minute visit a year. The prison officials were as brutal as the conditions and it is a testament to the man and his will that he survived such an ordeal. Many Northern Irish political offenders were regularly and viciously beaten, and locked in shit smeared cells for years with maggot-infested mattresses to sleep on in the infamous Maze prison. Look back through the history books even further and the brutality and inhumanity shown to those who broke the laws of society are difficult for mollycoddled modern day citizens to contemplate. Yet, despite such horrific punishment, people still committed crime.

Reformers were successful in abolishing the death penalty, hard labour, cruel and punitive regimes and for changing the purpose of prisons. They established the idea that for most inmates, prisons should be places of reform and rehabilitation, where they could learn the skills to support themselves when released, and they introduced programmes of work and education. Modern day prisons incorporate a vast range of services from different providers, allowing participants to work and earn money, learn and get qualifications and get psychological help for an increasing list of disorders. So, instead of bumps and bruises, offenders now leave institutions with work experience, a handful of qualifications, a boost to their self-esteem, and a wad of cash, but…. they still commit crime. The re-offending rate is high (around 30%), and the prisons are full of new and repeat offenders.
Crime is a broad and changeable concept, differing across time and place, but in essence, you commit a crime and get a criminal record if you break one of the laws of society, of which of course, there are many. People are imprisoned for playing music too loudly and disturbing their neighbours, claiming benefits fraudulently, stealing electricity by bypassing the meter and driving without due care and attention. Many have been drunk in a public place and some have driven a car without a licence or insurance, have not paid business VAT or income tax correctly, and shoplifted for themselves or others. Quite a few have been vandals, and some have stolen from their employer. Some have received stolen goods, left the scene of an accident, inflicted grievous bodily harm, burgled houses, and shops, and carried drugs into the country and then sold them to others. Some are illegal immigrants, caught working without the relevant documentation, and many are prostitutes of one description or another. A small number have murdered their own offspring, some have murdered their partners, and others have beaten or murdered strangers in random acts of extreme violence. Types and patterns of crime differ between men and women, and men commit the majority of crimes (approximately 80000 of the total prison population of 85000 is male). Offenders and their crimes are categorised and then offenders are housed in different institutions depending on that category.

Prison is not quite like the "holiday camp" one hears talk of, offenders are denied their freedom and contained within the walls of the institution. They have no choice about who they share a cell with, about what they eat and drink, and restricted choices relating to work and education. Yes, many of them have televisions and they can use the gym and get regular food and a warm if rather small hard bed. They also get to see their loved ones at pre-arranged visit times but may not be allowed to touch or kiss them. They can write and receive letters and make occasional phone calls under certain circumstances, but they still have to follow the prison regime. They get up when told, eat when told, wash and toilet when told, go to bed when told, do as they are told. The consequence of disobedience is the denial of some of their basic privileges, such as loss of TV, loss of association with other offenders, solitary confinement and being denied permission to attend work, education or exercise for a defined period of time. Offenders say the harshest punishment is the denial or restriction of visits from friends and family and the loss of their freedom.
Prison: What is it good for?

Luke Gittos

Do prisons rehabilitate people? Again, yes and no. Some embrace the rehabilitation process, fill
in the forms, paint and decorate, wash and clean and when near to the end of their sentence, go out on day
and each crime committed in specific circumstances, and for a minority, the loss of freedom is
something they have to get through, and in a place where conditions are not so uncomfortable.
In addition to attending classes in a range of subjects, many offenders “work” within the prison
rules, and be seen to make lifestyle changes in order to gain privileges. They are effectively
famously said “No, No, No”, but offenders get little choice in the matter, they have to follow
backwards. When there is confusion about the direction of society and uncertainty of purpose,
none of these approaches have worked, crimes are still committed, the financial cost of
these enormous estates that house thousands of people (currently around 85,000) at vast
Marx said, “Question everything”, and I do. I question the role of prisons as either places of
regular work and some qualifications. But, there are many more who when “inside”, get up for
autonomy, affects our ability to take control of our own life, and makes us more state reliant not
to their old habits.

Despite our obsession with crime and offenders - the TV schedules are full of programmes
punishment or places of rehabilitation; they are as messed up as many public institutions, as
and offenders is long overdue; the social cost is too great to ignore and current methods of
said “he wasn’t a man until he had been to prison”. As pointed out by
find there. Or, in an age when leaders are clueless and regularly defer to “celebs” on all matters,
not surprising that lawbreakers are seen as victims too, with a long list of disorders and
or with those who consume too many drugs or too much alcohol, and even with celebrities
put on the white suit, forensically examine, and work out how to deal effectively with what we
successful, then offenders would be ex-offenders, getting on with life in the real world and not
hell (even with the TV on). But for others? Well, you only have to look at the re-offending rate, to

The fact that so many offenders go back to prison time and time again, is perhaps the best
various “behavioural problems”, “illnesses and addictions” (reflecting a cultural trend in society).

Spiked (www.spiked.co.uk) published an extensive study earlier this year which found that over
a quarter of a million years, or one in three years, offenders are back in jail for more of the same and taking regular stretches there, like most of us take
weekend breaks.
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